

PAKISTAN collaborative behaviour profile (2020)

Behaviour 1: Enhance government leadership of sector planning processes

STRENGTHS

There is a national plan approved in 2 out of 7 WASH sub-sectors. The national WASH plan includes: WASH coverage targets, specific measures to reach vulnerable groups. There is active participation in national coordination for: Drinking Water, Sanitation, Hygiene.

CHALLENGES

There is no national plan approved in 5 out of 7 WASH sub-sectors. Data are missing on the alignment of activities of all national institutions in this report. There are data missing from all largest development partners on the alignment of their activities.

Behaviour 2: Strengthen and use country systems

STRENGTHS

Public financial management includes: complete annual financial reports, procurement methods, financial management information, public sector management, Supreme Audit institution independence. Public reporting enables: integration of personnel & payroll data, timeliness of changes to personnel/payroll data, internal controls of changes, payroll audits.

CHALLENGES

Public financial management is weak for: public access to information. A number of the largest donors do not report on: use of country procurement systems (5), use of country financial management systems (5), support to strengthening sector systems/capacity (5).

Behaviour 3: Use one information and mutual accountability platform built around a multi-stakeholder, government-led cycle of planning, monitoring, and learning

STRENGTHS

A recent national assessment is available for: Drinking Water, Sanitation, Hygiene. National multi-stakeholder review mechanisms include: actions based on results, evidenced-based decision making. Routine monitoring and reporting includes: disaggregation for assessing inequalities, an effective complaint mechanisms for WASH.

CHALLENGES

Routine monitoring and reporting does not strongly include: available routinely collected data, results accessible to all, data informed decision-making. Up to 25% of partners do not report monitoring results to government in: Sanitation, Drinking Water, Hygiene. **Behaviour 4:** Build sustainable water and sanitation sector financing strategies that incorporate financial data from taxes, tariffs, and transfers as well as estimates for nontariff household expenditure

STRENGTHS

Government information is available for: budgets, expenditure reports, central government expenditure. Additional available information includes: international public transfers, voluntary transfers. Revenue estimates are available for: Sanitation, Drinking Water.

CHALLENGES

Government information is incomplete for: state/provincial expenditure, local government expenditure. Other incomplete sources financial information includes: external support expenditure. Household expenditure has limited availability for: Sanitation, Drinking Water.

GOVERNMENT



A regularly reviewed, government-led national planⁱ for WASH is in place and implemented^{ii,†}

- × **Urban Sanitation**
- × **Rural Sanitation**
- Urban Drinking-water ×
- × **Rural Drinking-water**
- **Hygiene Promotion** x
- WASH in Schools
- WASH in Health Care Facilities
- WASH Coverage targets are presentⁱⁱⁱ
- ✓ Specific measures to reach vulnerable groups existiv

GOVERNMENT

1.2a	A formal government-led multi-stakeholder national coordination mechanism exists for sector planning and					
review [†]						
**	$\star \star \star$					
✓ organi	Coordination of activities of different zations/sectors with responsibilities for WASH					
•	Works on basis of agreed national plan					
✓	Documented and publicly accessible					
Partici	pation is inclusive ⁺					
√	All relevant ministries and government agencies					
•	Donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally					
✓	Non-governmental stakeholders (NGOs, CSOs)					
100%	opment partners ^v participate in national coordination Drinking water Sopiration					

100% Sanitation 100% Hygiene

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

Support for government leadership of multi-1.2b stakeholder sector planning / WASH sector plan[‡]

Partners indicating their participation in national coordination Switzerland, Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC)

Partners supporting national level (3 = highly, 1 = limited) No data

Partners supporting decentralized level (3 = highly, 1 = limited) No data

GOVERNMENT

1.3a.		•		d in national WASH plans or aligned greement
**		*	J	

Proportion of activities aligned⁺

ND Punjab	
-----------	--

- Balochistan ND
- Khber Pakhtunkhwa ND
- ND Sindh ICT
- ND

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

.3a.ii	Activities captured in national WASH plans or aligned through mutual agreement ^{†,‡}
*	***

Proportion of activities aligned (5 largest donorsvi)

- ND Japan*
- ND **United States**
- World Bank Group* ND
- ND Asian Development Bank*
- Switzerland ND

Other development partners

- ND Australia
- **Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation** ND
- ND Canada
- ND **EU** Institutions

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

ODA allocated to water and sanitation policy and 1.3b administration and education and trainingvii,§

21%

Legend

ND No data

- Yes
- Partially
- × No
- * Alignment noted by government in GLAAS country survey 2018/2019
- ⁺ Government reported data (GLAAS country survey 2018/2019)
- [‡] Development partner data (GLAAS ESA survey 2018/2019)
- [§] Development partner data (OECD CRS-DAC)
- ⁺ World Bank data from Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA)
- [¶] Data from Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability report (PEFA)

GOVERNMENT



Government defined public financial management and procurement systems adhere to good $\ensuremath{\mathsf{practices}}\xspace^{\ensuremath{\mathsf{viii}}\xspace}$

- Complete annual financial reports
- Procurement methods
- × Public access to procurement information
- Quality of budget and financial management information^x •
- Quality of public sector management and quality of institutions^{xi}
- NA Supreme Audit Institution independence

GOVERNMENT

2.2a	Development partners adhere to country planning processes and policies [‡]
$\star\star$	$\star\star\star$
	ntage of ODA spending using country procurement n(s) (5 largest donors ^{vi}) [‡]
ND	Japan
ND	United States
ND	World Bank Group
ND	Asian Development Bank
ND	Switzerland
Other	reporting development partners ⁺
ND	Australia
ND	Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
ND	Canada
ND	EU Institutions
	ntage of ODA using country public financial management ns (5 largest donors ^{vi}) ^{xiii,‡}
ND	Japan
ND	United States
ND	World Bank Group
ND	Asian Development Bank
ND	Switzerland
Other	reporting development partners [‡]
ND	Australia
ND	Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
ND	Canada
ND	EU Institutions

GOVERNMENT

Z

2.1b	Public sector budget and expenditure reporting enables the number and cost of civil servants working
differe	tral, regional and local levels to be estimated for ent sectors ^{xii,1} \star \star
e persor	Degree of integration and reconciliation between nnel records and payroll data
✓ payrol	Timeliness of changes to personnel records and the I
✓ payrol	Internal controls of changes to personnel records and the I
✓ weakn	Existence of payroll audits to identify control lesses and/or ghost workers

GOVERNMENT

Amount of ODA allocated to strengthening country 2.2b systems compared to WASH infrastructure projects

Proportion WASH ODA with participatory development and good governance (PDGG) as an objective[§]

- 2% Principal objective 7% Significant objective
- 58% Not an objective
- 33% Not specified

Proportion of water and sanitation ODA to support strengthening sector systems / capacity (5 largest donorsvi)*

Japan
United States
World Bank Group
Asian Development Bank
Switzerland
eporting development partners [‡]
Australia
Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
Canada
EU Institutions

Behaviour 3:

Use one information and mutual accountability platform built around a multi-stakeholder, governmentled cycle of planning, monitoring, and learning

GOVERNMENT



A formal government-led multi-stakeholder review mechanism exists

$\star \star \star \star \star$

A national assessment for drinking-water is available (year of latest assessment) $^{\scriptscriptstyle \dagger}$

- ✓ (2016) Drinking water
- ✓ (2016) Sanitation
- ✓ (2016) Hygiene

 \checkmark A review mechanism is in place to assess progress on a regular basis and results are acted upon^+

• The mechanism applies evidence-based decision-making, including consideration of agreed indicators (e.g. access, WASH related disease, WASH finance)⁺

Development partners that indicate being part of a mutual assessment exercise[‡]

Switzerland, Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC)

GOVERNMENT

3.1b Routine monitoring systems provide reliable data to inform decision-making in WASH⁺

$\star\star$

• Routinely collected data are available on sanitation and drinking-water

• Information and results are accessible to all stakeholders (i.e. data are reported in a usable format)

• Data collected are used to inform decision-making (i.e. results are incorporated into country monitoring systems or reviews and acted upon)

 \checkmark Level of disaggregation allows for assessment of inequalities $^{\text{xiv}}$

 \checkmark Members of the public have an effective mechanism to file complaints regarding WASH services

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

 Proportion of development partners reporting results of monitoring back to government institutions 25% Sanitation 25% Drinking water 25% Drinking water 25% Hygiene Donors, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country monitoring systemsⁱ No data No data No data X no data No data Data not available for other development partners. 	3.2a Data collected through partner programs feed into country monitoring systems ⁺	3.2b ODA is allocated to str absence of) monitorin
 Proportion of development partners reporting results of monitoring back to government institutions 25% Sanitation 25% Drinking water 25% Drinking water 25% Hygiene Donors, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country monitoring systems⁴ ✓ No data 	★ ★ ★ ★ ★	
25% Sanitation ● Switzerland (ND), CARE 25% Drinking water 25% Hygiene Donors, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country Water Supply and Sanitation Co × No data Data not available for other development partners × No data ✓ No data	Proportion of development partners reporting results of	monitoring and evaluation syst
25% Drinking water 25% Hygiene Water Supply and Sanitation Co Donors, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country Mo data Data not available for other development partners ✓ No data ✓ No data	monitoring back to government institutions	✓ Japan (ND), United King
25% Hygiene × No data Donors, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country monitoring systems [±] × No data ✓ No data Development partners using the monitoring systems ✓ No data ✓ No data × No data ✓ No data ✓ No data ✓ No data ✓ No data ✓ No data	25% Sanitation	Switzerland (ND), CARE
Donors, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country monitoring systems [‡] No data ✓ No data	25% Drinking water	Water Supply and Sanitation Co
monitoring systems [±] Data not available for other devidence of the devidence	10	× No data
✓ No data ● No data × No data ✓ No data		Data not available for other dev
 No data ✓ No data ✓ No data ✓ No data 	· ·	
 No data No data No data 	 No data 	0,1
Data not available for other development partners	× No data	
Data not available for other dev	Data not available for other development partners.	
		Data not available for other dev

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

3.2b	ODA is allocated to strengthening or developing (in the absence of) monitoring and evaluation systems [‡]
	opment partners prioritizing support to strengthening oring and evaluation systems (% ODA disbursed) ^{xv}
✓	Japan (ND), United Kingdom (ND), WaterAid (ND)
• Water	Switzerland (ND), CARE International (ND), UNICEF (ND), Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC) (ND)
×	No data
Data n	ot available for other development partners.
	opment partners using the results from government oring systems
\checkmark	No data
•	No data
Data n	ot available for other development partners.

Behaviour 4: Build sustainable water and sanitation sector financing strategies that incorporate financial data from taxes, tariffs, and transfers and estimates of non-tariff household expenditure

GOVERNMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

4.1	L	
*	-	-

Data are available on taxes, transfers, and tariffs and their contribution to the WASH sector*

WASH budgets are available from government ministries \checkmark and institutions

(5 of 5 institutions)

- WASH government expenditure reports are available \checkmark
- WASH expenditure data are available:
 - Central government
 - × State/provincial government
 - × Local government
 - × WASH external support
 - External support expenditure are available
- ✓ International public transfers
- \checkmark Voluntary transfers (NGO/foundations)

Revenue estimates from tariffs are available from utilities or other service providers:

- Sanitation
- \checkmark Drinking water

Non-tariff HH expenditure (self-supply) are available:

- × Sanitation
- Drinking water

Spending published & shared with government (5 largest^{vi})*

- ND Japan
- ND **United States**
- ND World Bank Group
- ND Asian Development Bank
- ND Switzerland

Other development partners[‡]

- ND Australia
- Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation ND
- ND Canada
- **EU** Institutions ND

GOVERNMENT

Finance plan exists and how if operations and basic 4.2

maintenance is to be covered (tariffs or household)*

- × Urban sanitation
- × Rural sanitation
- × Urban drinking water
- × Rural drinking water

on budget
national budget (disaggregated) [‡]
er and sanitation-related ODA ⁺
the national budget
I through the treasury
:
idget support
as sector budget support (5 largest ^{vi}) [‡]
pup
ient Bank
rtners [‡]
ates Foundation
providing pooled funding [*]
ral budget support⁵ nk

4.3b					. •	cure		
Domes	stic al	bsorpt	ion o	duri	ng la	ast t	hree	years ⁺
Over 7	5%	Urba	n san	itat	ion			

Over 75%	Rural sanitation
Over 75%	Urban drinking water
Over 75%	Rural drinking water
External funds absorption during last three years ⁺	
Over 75%	Urban sanitation

Over 75% **Rural sanitation**

- Over 75% Urban drinking water
- Over 75% Rural drinking water

Development partners committed to multi-year funding under a multi-year investment strategy*

Japan (ND), Switzerland (ND), United Kingdom (ND), \checkmark UNICEF (ND), Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC) (ND), WaterAid (ND)

- CARE International, World Bank Group
- × No data

Data not available for other development partners.

ABOUT THE PROFILES

The Sanitation and Water for All (SWA) global partnership identified four Collaborative Behaviours that, if jointly adopted by governments and development partners, would improve long-term performance and sustainability in the water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) sector.

BASED ON PUBLIC DATA

The country profiles provide an overview of how both the government and development partners are applying the Behaviours. Information regarding the government and development partners is presented to highlight areas of success and to encourage mutual accountability. The 2020 country profiles are the second round of profiles for the Collaborative Behaviours and they may be further refined moving forward.

USING THE PROFILES

These profiles are intended as a resource for countries and development partners to review publicly available data. While the profiles are not completely exhaustive, by bringing together relevant available data they may provide an overall summary of how governments and development partners are working in the sector according to the public record and are a starting point for discussions on how to improve behaviours to strengthen long-term sector performance.

Because of limitations in the availability of relevant data, often due to incomplete reporting in the WASH sector, many of the profiles contain considerable data gaps. These gaps are presented to catalyse discussions, and trigger action to ensure these are addressed in future monitoring rounds

DATA SOURCES

The primary country data sources include the GLAAS 2018/2019 survey and the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability report (PEFA) and Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA). The main data sources for development partners in the country profiles are the GLAAS 2018/2019 External Support Agency (ESA) survey and OECD CRS-DAC. Up to the five largest donors according to the OECD CRS-DAC (2017) are highlighted throughout this country profile. In addition up to four development partners who have submitted responses to the GLAAS 2018/2019 ESA survey and/or OECD CRS-DAC or who have been highlighted by a government response in the GLAAS country survey are included to highlight other development partners that have published data on their activities.

(<u>https://www.pefa.org/resources/catalog</u>). Data from the most recent assessment available were used (2012).

¹ A plan sets out targets to achieve and provides details on implementation (based on policies where these exist). It indicates how the responsible entity will respond to organizational requirements, type of training and development that will be provided, and how the budget will be allocated, etc. ^{II} Aggregate from the cumulative score of the sub-indicators. The level of achievement is based on the total score divided by the total possible. Eighty percent or more (> = 80%) is five stars; from sixty (60%) to less than eighty percent (<80%) four stars; from forty (40%) to less than sixty percent (<60%) three stars; from twenty (20%) to less than forty percent (<40%) two stars and less than twenty percent (<20%) one star.

^{III} Coverage targets and those missing can be found in the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey.

^{iv} More specifics on the vulnerable groups can be found in the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey.

^v Development partners include civil society, nongovernmental organizations, donors and others involved in aid development.

 $^{^{\}rm vi}$ The largest development partners according to OECD CRS-DAC

^{vii} The percentage and the total amount indicated are based on the expenditure in 2017; Source: OECD CRS-DAC, 2017.

[†] Government reported data (GLAAS country survey 2018/2019)

⁺ Development partner data (GLAAS ESA survey 2018/2019)

[§] Development partner data (OECD CRS-DAC)

[|] World Bank data from Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA)

¹ Data from Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability report (PEFA)

viii Dimensions 1-3 and 6 are PEFA (Public Expenditure and Financial

Accountability) scores, based on an A to D scale

^{ix} Dimensions 4 and 5 are CPIA (Country Policy and Institutional Assessment) scores based on a 1.0 to 6.0 scale.

^x Quality of budgetary and financial management assesses the extent to which there is a comprehensive and credible budget linked to policy priorities, effective financial management systems, and timely and accurate accounting and fiscal reporting, including timely and audited public accounts. (1=low to 6=high) Source:

http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=country-policyand-institutional-assessment, 2018 data.

^{xi} The public sector management and institutions cluster includes property rights and rule-based governance, quality of budgetary and financial management, efficiency of revenue mobilization, quality of public administration, and transparency, accountability, and corruption in the public sector. (1=low to 6=high) Source:

http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=country-policyand-institutional-assessment, 2018 data.

^{xii} Dimensions 1-4 are PEFA (Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability) scores, based on an A to D scale (<u>https://www.pefa.org/resources/cataloa</u>). Data from the most recent assessment available were used (2012).

xⁱⁱⁱ Data for this indicator are not currently collected at the global level. ^{xiv} Inequalities are assessed for "poor populations" for water, sanitation and hygiene promotion. Source: GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey.

^{xv} Data for this indicator are not country specific. Source: GLAAS 2018/2019 ESA survey. Based on the question if monitoring and evaluation is a priority for the ESA WASH strategy and/or activities in the WASH sector.