

MALAWI COLLABORATIVE BEHAVIOUR PROFILE (2020)

Behaviour 1: Enhance government leadership of sector planning processes

STRENGTHS

There is a national plan approved in all WASH subsectors. The national WASH plan includes: WASH coverage targets. There is active participation in national coordination for: Sanitation. A formal government-led coordination mechanism includes: coordination of activities in different WASH sub-sectors, working on basis of agreed national plan, all relevant ministries/agencies, donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally, non-governmental stakeholders, documentation and accessible public information.

CHALLENGES

The national WASH plan requires more definition for: specific measures to reach vulnerable groups. There is poor participation in national coordination for: Drinking Water, Hygiene. Data are missing on the alignment of activities of all national institutions in this report.

Behaviour 3: Use one information and mutual accountability platform built around a multi-stakeholder, government-led cycle of planning, monitoring, and learning

STRENGTHS

A recent national assessment is available for: Drinking Water, Sanitation, Hygiene. National multi-stakeholder review mechanisms include: actions based on results, evidenced-based decision making. Routine monitoring and reporting includes: available routinely collected data, data informed decision-making, an effective complaint mechanisms for WASH.

CHALLENGES

Routine monitoring and reporting does not strongly include: results accessible to all, disaggregation for assessing inequalities. Up to 25% of partners do not report monitoring results to government in: Sanitation, Drinking Water, Hygiene. **Behaviour 2: Strengthen and use country systems**

STRENGTHS

Public financial management includes: complete annual financial reports, procurement methods, public access to information, financial management information, public sector management. Public reporting enables: integration of personnel & payroll data, timeliness of changes to personnel/payroll data, internal controls of changes, payroll audits.

CHALLENGES

Public financial management is weak for: Supreme Audit institution independence. A number of the largest donors do not report on: use of country procurement systems (5), use of country financial management systems (5), support to strengthening sector systems/capacity (5).

Behaviour 4: Build sustainable water and sanitation sector financing strategies that incorporate financial data from taxes, tariffs, and transfers as well as estimates for nontariff household expenditure

STRENGTHS

Government information is available for: expenditure reports, central government expenditure, local government expenditure. Additional available information includes: external support expenditure, international public transfers. Revenue estimates are available for: Drinking Water.

CHALLENGES

Government information is incomplete for: budgets, state/provincial expenditure. Other incomplete sources financial information includes: voluntary transfers. Revenue estimates are poorly available for: Sanitation. Household expenditure has limited availability for: Sanitation, Drinking Water.

GOVERNMENT



- Urban Sanitation
- Rural Sanitation
- ✓ Urban Drinking-water
- ✓ Rural Drinking-water
- ✓ Hygiene Promotion
- ✓ WASH in Schools
- ✓ WASH in Health Care Facilities
- ✓ WASH Coverage targets are presentⁱⁱⁱ
- Specific measures to reach vulnerable groups exist^{iv}

GOVERNMENT

1.2a reviev	A formal government-led multi-stakeholder national coordination mechanism exists for sector planning and w [†]
✓	Coordination of activities of different izations/sectors with responsibilities for WASH
✓	Works on basis of agreed national plan
✓	Documented and publicly accessible
Partic	ipation is inclusive ⁺
✓	All relevant ministries and government agencies
✓	Donors that contribute to WASH activities nationally
✓	Non-governmental stakeholders (NGOs, CSOs)
Devel 0% NA	opment partners ^v participate in national coordination Drinking water Sanitation

0% Hygiene

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

1.2b Support for government leadership of multistakeholder sector planning / WASH sector plan[‡]

Partners indicating their participation in national coordination Japan, One Drop Foundation, United States, World Vision, Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC)

Partners supporting national level (3 = highly, 1 = limited) No data

Partners supporting decentralized level (3 = highly, 1 = limited) No data

GOVERNMENT

1.3a.i Activities captured in national WASH plans or aligned through mutual agreement

Proportion of activities aligned⁺

- ND Ministry of Agriculture, Irrigation & Water Development
- ND Ministry of Education, Science and Technology
- ND Ministry of Health
- ND Ministry of Local Government
- ND

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

1.3a.ii Activities captured in national WASH plans or aligned through mutual agreement^{†,‡}

· + + + -

Proportion of activities aligned (5 largest donors^{vi})

- ND World Bank Group
- ND United States
- ND African Development Bank*
- ND OPEC Fund for International Development [OFID]
- ND United Kingdom

Other development partners

- ND African Development Fund
- ND Australia
- ND Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- ND Canada

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

1.3b ODA allocated to water and sanitation policy and administration and education and training^{vil,§}



Legend

- ND No data
- Yes
- Partially
 X
 No
- × No
- * Alignment noted by government in GLAAS country survey 2018/2019
- ⁺ Government reported data (GLAAS country survey 2018/2019)
- [‡] Development partner data (GLAAS ESA survey 2018/2019)
- § Development partner data (OECD CRS-DAC)
- World Bank data from Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA)
- ¹ Data from Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability report (PEFA)

GOVERNMENT



Government defined public financial management and procurement systems adhere to good practicesviii,

 $\star\star\star$

- Complete annual financial reports
- ✓ Procurement methods
- Public access to procurement information
- Quality of budget and financial management information^x •
- Quality of public sector management and quality of institutions^{xi}
- Supreme Audit Institution independence ×

GOVERNMENT

2.2 a	Development partners adhere to country planning processes and policies [‡]
	ntage of ODA spending using country procurement n(s) (5 largest donors ^{vi}) [‡]
ND	World Bank Group
ND	United States
ND	African Development Bank
ND	OPEC Fund for International Development [OFID]
ND	United Kingdom
Other	reporting development partners [*]
ND	African Development Fund
ND	Australia
ND	Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
ND	Canada
	ntage of ODA using country public financial management ns (5 largest donors ^{vi}) ^{xiii,‡}
ND	World Bank Group
ND	United States
ND	African Development Bank
ND	OPEC Fund for International Development [OFID]
ND	United Kingdom
Other	reporting development partners [‡]
ND	African Development Fund
ND	Australia
ND	Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
ND	Canada

GOVERNMENT

Public sector budget and expenditure reporting 2.1b enables the number and cost of civil servants working at central, regional and local levels to be estimated for different sectorsxii,¶ $\star \star \star$ Degree of integration and reconciliation between personnel records and payroll data Timeliness of changes to personnel records and the payroll Internal controls of changes to personnel records and the payroll Existence of payroll audits to identify control weaknesses and/or ghost workers

GOVERNMENT

✓

2b	Amount of ODA allocated to strengthening systems compared to WASH infrastructure

Proportion WASH ODA with participatory development and good governance (PDGG) as an objective[§]

; country projects

- 1% Principal objective 0% Significant objective
- 41% Not an objective
- 58% Not specified

Proportion of water and sanitation ODA to support strengthening sector systems / capacity (5 largest donorsvi)*

- World Bank Group ND
- ND **United States**
- ND African Development Bank
- OPEC Fund for International Development [OFID] ND
- ND United Kingdom

Other reporting development partners[‡]

- African Development Fund ND
- ND Australia
- Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation ND
- ND Canada

Behaviour 3:

Use one information and mutual accountability platform built around a multi-stakeholder, governmentled cycle of planning, monitoring, and learning

GOVERNMENT



A formal government-led multi-stakeholder review mechanism exists

$\star\star\star\star\star$

A national assessment for drinking-water is available (year of latest assessment) $^{\scriptscriptstyle \dagger}$

- ✓ (2018) Drinking water
- ✓ (2018) Sanitation
- ✓ (2018) Hygiene

 \checkmark A review mechanism is in place to assess progress on a regular basis and results are acted upon^+

✓ The mechanism applies evidence-based decision-making, including consideration of agreed indicators (e.g. access, WASH related disease, WASH finance)⁺

Development partners that indicate being part of a mutual assessment exercise[‡]

Japan, United States, Water Supply and Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC), WaterAid, World Vision

GOVERNMENT

3.1b Routine monitoring systems provide reliable data to inform decision-making in WASH⁺

$\star\star$

• Routinely collected data are available on sanitation and drinking-water

Information and results are accessible to all stakeholders
 (i.e. data are reported in a usable format)

• Data collected are used to inform decision-making (i.e. results are incorporated into country monitoring systems or reviews and acted upon)

• Level of disaggregation allows for assessment of inequalities^{xiv}

 \checkmark Members of the public have an effective mechanism to file complaints regarding WASH services

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

3.2a	Data collected through partner programs feed into country monitoring systems ⁺
•	ortion of development partners reporting results of coring back to government institutions
	0% Sanitation 0% Drinking water
	0% Hygiene
	rs, NGOs and civil society reporting results into country coring systems [‡]
\checkmark	No data
•	No data
×	No data
Data r	not available for other development partners.

DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

3.2b	ODA is allocated to strengthening or developing (in the absence of) monitoring and evaluation systems [‡]
	opment partners prioritizing support to strengthening oring and evaluation systems (% ODA disbursed) ^{xv}
\checkmark	Japan (ND), WaterAid (ND)
	African Development Bank (ND), CARE International (ND), rop Foundation (ND), Water Supply and Sanitation orative Council (WSSCC) (ND)
×	World Vision (ND)
Data n	ot available for other development partners.
	opment partners using the results from government oring systems
✓	No data
•	No data
.	a transfer la fana a than da sa la nan antara atara a

Data not available for other development partners.

Behaviour 4: Build sustainable water and sanitation sector financing strategies that incorporate financial data from taxes, tariffs, and transfers and estimates of non-tariff household expenditure

GOVERNMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

4.1	
	1

Data are available on taxes, transfers, and tariffs and their contribution to the WASH sector

WASH budgets are available from government ministries and institutions

(1 of 4 institutions)

- WASH government expenditure reports are available \checkmark
- WASH expenditure data are available:
 - Central government
 - × State/provincial government
 - \checkmark Local government
 - ✓ WASH external support
- External support expenditure are available
- ~ International public transfers
- Voluntary transfers (NGO/foundations) ×

Revenue estimates from tariffs are available from utilities or other service providers:

- Sanitation ×
- ~ Drinking water

Non-tariff HH expenditure (self-supply) are available:

- Sanitation ×
- × Drinking water

Spending published & shared with government (5 largest^{vi})*

- ND World Bank Group
- **United States** ND
- ND African Development Bank
- ND **OPEC Fund for International Development [OFID]**
- ND United Kingdom

Other development partners[‡]

- African Development Fund ND
- ND Australia
- ND Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
- ND Canada

GOVERNMENT

Finance plan exists and how if operations and basic 4 2

- maintenance is to be covered (tariffs or household)⁺
- Urban sanitation
- Rural sanitation
- Urban drinking water
- Rural drinking water

4.3a	Data are available on whether WASH assistance is a) or treasury or b) on budget
$\star\star$	
Donor No dat	s going through national budget (disaggregated) [‡] ta
Propo	rtion of total water and sanitation-related ODA [†] 100% Included in the national budget
	0% Channelled through the treasury
	96% Off-budget
	0% General budget support
Propo	rtion of funding as sector budget support (5 largest ^{vi}) [‡]
ND	World Bank Group
ND	United States
ND	African Development Bank
ND	OPEC Fund for International Development [OFID]
ND	United Kingdom
Other	development partners [*]
ND	African Development Fund
ND	Australia
ND	Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation
ND	Canada
Develo Austra	opment partners providing pooled funding [‡] Ilia
	s providing general budget support [®] ational Monetary Fund, Germany

GOVERNMENT AND DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS

WASH financing is predictable 4.3b

 $\star \star \star \star$

Domestic absorption during last three years⁺

Between 50 and 75% Urban sanitation Between 50 and 75% Rural sanitation Over 75% Urban drinking water Between 50 and 75% Rural drinking water

External funds absorption during last three years⁺

- Over 75% Urban sanitation
- Over 75% Rural sanitation
- Over 75% Urban drinking water

Over 75% Rural drinking water

Development partners committed to multi-year funding under a multi-year investment strategy*

Japan (ND), One Drop Foundation (ND), Water Supply and \checkmark Sanitation Collaborative Council (WSSCC) (ND), WaterAid (ND), World Vision (ND)

African Development Bank, CARE International, World

Bank Group

× No data

Data not available for other development partners.

ABOUT THE PROFILES

The Sanitation and Water for All (SWA) global partnership identified four Collaborative Behaviours that, if jointly adopted by governments and development partners, would improve long-term performance and sustainability in the water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) sector.

BASED ON PUBLIC DATA

The country profiles provide an overview of how both the government and development partners are applying the Behaviours. Information regarding the government and development partners is presented to highlight areas of success and to encourage mutual accountability. The 2020 country profiles are the second round of profiles for the Collaborative Behaviours and they may be further refined moving forward.

USING THE PROFILES

These profiles are intended as a resource for countries and development partners to review publicly available data. While the profiles are not completely exhaustive, by bringing together relevant available data they may provide an overall summary of how governments and development partners are working in the sector according to the public record and are a starting point for discussions on how to improve behaviours to strengthen long-term sector performance.

Because of limitations in the availability of relevant data, often due to incomplete reporting in the WASH sector, many of the profiles contain considerable data gaps. These gaps are presented to catalyse discussions, and trigger action to ensure these are addressed in future monitoring rounds

DATA SOURCES

The primary country data sources include the GLAAS 2018/2019 survey and the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability report (PEFA) and Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA). The main data sources for development partners in the country profiles are the GLAAS 2018/2019 External Support Agency (ESA) survey and OECD CRS-DAC. Up to the five largest donors according to the OECD CRS-DAC (2017) are highlighted throughout this country profile. In addition up to four development partners who have submitted responses to the GLAAS 2018/2019 ESA survey and/or OECD CRS-DAC or who have been highlighted by a government response in the GLAAS country survey are included to highlight other development partners that have published data on their activities.

(<u>https://www.pefa.org/resources/catalog</u>). Data from the most recent assessment available were used (2018).

¹ A plan sets out targets to achieve and provides details on implementation (based on policies where these exist). It indicates how the responsible entity will respond to organizational requirements, type of training and development that will be provided, and how the budget will be allocated, etc. ^{II} Aggregate from the cumulative score of the sub-indicators. The level of achievement is based on the total score divided by the total possible. Eighty percent or more (> = 80%) is five stars; from sixty (60%) to less than eighty percent (<80%) four stars; from forty (40%) to less than sixty percent (<60%) three stars; from twenty (20%) to less than forty percent (<40%) two stars and less than twenty percent (<20%) one star.

^{III} Coverage targets and those missing can be found in the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey.

^{iv} More specifics on the vulnerable groups can be found in the GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey.

^v Development partners include civil society, nongovernmental organizations, donors and others involved in aid development.

 $^{^{\}rm vi}$ The largest development partners according to OECD CRS-DAC

^{vii} The percentage and the total amount indicated are based on the expenditure in 2017; Source: OECD CRS-DAC, 2017.

[†] Government reported data (GLAAS country survey 2018/2019)

⁺ Development partner data (GLAAS ESA survey 2018/2019)

[§] Development partner data (OECD CRS-DAC)

¹ World Bank data from Country Policy and Institutional Assessment (CPIA)

¹ Data from Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability report (PEFA)

viii Dimensions 1-3 and 6 are PEFA (Public Expenditure and Financial

Accountability) scores, based on an A to D scale

^{ix} Dimensions 4 and 5 are CPIA (Country Policy and Institutional Assessment) scores based on a 1.0 to 6.0 scale.

^x Quality of budgetary and financial management assesses the extent to which there is a comprehensive and credible budget linked to policy priorities, effective financial management systems, and timely and accurate accounting and fiscal reporting, including timely and audited public accounts. (1=low to 6=high) Source:

http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=country-policyand-institutional-assessment, 2018 data.

^{xi} The public sector management and institutions cluster includes property rights and rule-based governance, quality of budgetary and financial management, efficiency of revenue mobilization, quality of public administration, and transparency, accountability, and corruption in the public sector. (1=low to 6=high) Source:

http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=country-policyand-institutional-assessment, 2018 data.

^{xii} Dimensions 1-4 are PEFA (Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability) scores, based on an A to D scale (<u>https://www.pefa.org/resources/cataloa</u>). Data from the most recent assessment available were used (2018).

xⁱⁱⁱ Data for this indicator are not currently collected at the global level. ^{xiv} Inequalities are assessed for "poor populations" for water, sanitation and hygiene promotion. Source: GLAAS 2018/2019 country survey.

^{xv} Data for this indicator are not country specific. Source: GLAAS 2018/2019 ESA survey. Based on the question if monitoring and evaluation is a priority for the ESA WASH strategy and/or activities in the WASH sector.